Exotic nuclei with charm and bottom flavors

Shigehiro Yasui KEK

27-28 Nov. 2009 新学術領域 「多彩なフレーバーで探る新しいハドロン存在形態の包括的研究」 キックオフミーティング@Nagoya University

Contents

- 1. Motivation
- 2. DN and BN potential
 - Heavy Quark Symmetry
- 3. D^{bar}N and BN bound states
- 4. Exotic charm/bottom nuclei
 - D^{bar}NN and BNN
- 5. Conclusion & Perspective



- ✓ New energy scale ($m_{c,b}$) is introduced in addition to Λ_{QCD} .
- New symmetry (heavy quark symmetry) appears.
- QCD Effective Theory (HQET, NRQCD, pNRQCD, ...)
- Lattice QCD approach
 - etc.

Mass scale of quarks



- Normal Nuclei
- Large Isospin Nuclei (neutron/proton rich)



(Hypernuclei/K-nuclei)





Bottom Nuclei ??

(Bottom baryon nuclei/B-nuclei)



Cf. Charmonium-Nucleon interaction from Lattice QCD; Hatsuda-Kawanai-Sasaki











How to generate charm nuclei?

Possible experiments in J-PARC, GSI, ...



Report by PANDA@FAIR (Mar. 2009) Krein's talk in FB19 (Sep. 2009) Ohnishi's talk in HQP (Sep. 2009)







Q. What is the interaction between D (B) and N ?



Strangeness, Charm, Botom, ...



Weinberg-Tomozawa

Lutz-Kolomeitsev (2004), Hoffmann-Lutz (2005), Mizutani-Ramos (2006), Gamermann-Oset-Strottman-Vacas (2007), Haidenbauer-Krein-Meissner-Sibirtsev (2007), ...

Strangeness, Charm, Botom, ...



One pion exchange potential







$$T(r;m) = -\frac{4\pi}{m^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\vec{q}^2}{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} \left\{ 3(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{q})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \hat{q}) - \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \right\} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} \frac{\Lambda_N^2 - m^2}{\Lambda_N^2 + \vec{q}^2} \frac{\Lambda_P^2 - m^2}{\Lambda_P^2 + \vec{q}^2} \frac{\Lambda_P^2 - m^2}{\Lambda_P^2 + \vec{q}^2} \frac{\Gamma(r;m)}{\Lambda_N^2 + \vec{q}^2} = \frac{4\pi}{m^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} \frac{\Lambda_N^2 - m^2}{\Lambda_N^2 + \vec{q}^2} \frac{\Lambda_P^2 - m^2}{\Lambda_P^2 + \vec{q}^2}$$

Q. Are there bound states of D (B) and N ?



Classification of states

S. Y. and K. Sudoh (2008)

 $|\text{state}\rangle = |\text{PN}\rangle + |\text{P*N}\rangle P = D^{\text{bar}}(c^{\text{bar}}q), B(b^{\text{bar}}q)$

* No annihilation process

Classification of states

 $J^{P}=1/2^{-}$ (I=0 or 1)

S. Y. and K. Sudoh (2008)

 $|\text{state}\rangle = |\text{PN}\rangle + |\text{P*N}\rangle P = D^{\text{bar}}(c^{\text{bar}}q), B(b^{\text{bar}}q)$

* No annihilation process

 $|PN; {}^{2}S_{1/2} > + |P^{*}N; {}^{2}S_{1/2} > + |P^{*}N; {}^{4}D_{1/2} >$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*} & N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ \bullet & \bullet \\ P^{*$

 $J^{P}=1/2^{-}$ (I=0 or 1)

S. Y. and K. Sudoh (2008)





S. Y. and K. Sudoh (2008)



Transition from strangeness to charm and bottom systems?



Transition from strangeness to charm and bottom systems?



Transition from strangeness to charm and bottom systems?



Q. Are there exotic nuclei with D (B) ?



A=2 charmed (bottom) nucleus ?

D^{(*)bar}NN and B^(*)NN systems

Classification of states $J^{P}=0^{-}$ $S=1/2, S=1/2, I=1/2 |D(NN)_{S=0,I=1} > + |D^{*}(NN)_{S=1,I=0} >$ $N |I=3/2 |D(NN)_{S=0,I=1} >$

 $J^{P}=1^{-}$ $I=1/2 |D(NN)_{S=1,I=0} > + |D^{*}(NN)_{S=1,I=0} > + |D^{*}(NN)_{S=0,I=1} >$ $I=3/2 |D(NN)_{S=0,I=1} >$

D^{(*)bar}NN and B^(*)NN systems

```
Classification of states Fraction of (D^{(*)bar}N)_{S=1/2,I=0}
         D^{(*) \text{ bar}} J^P = 0^-
            S=1/2, I=1/2 |D(NN)_{S=0,I=1} > + |D^*(NN)_{S=1,I=0} > \frac{3/4}{1/4}
S=1/2,
                  N I=3/2 |D(NN)<sub>S=0,I=1</sub>>
  Ν
                         J<sup>P</sup>=1<sup>-</sup>
                          I=1/2 |D(NN)_{S=1,I=0} > + |D^*(NN)_{S=1,I=0} > + |D^*(NN)_{S=0,I=1} > \frac{1/4}{1/6} 
                          I=3/2 |D(NN)_{S=0,I=1}>
```

Conclusion & Perspective

• We discuss D^{(*)bar}N and B^(*)N bound states with respect to heavy quark symmetry.

J ^P =1/2 ⁻ ,I=0	D ^{bar} N	BN
B.E. [MeV]	2.5	15.3
r [fm]	2.9	1.4

• DN-D*N (BN-B*N) mixing with tensor coupling is important.

• Charmed nuclei will be studied in experiments (J-PARC, GSI, ...).

Conclusion & Perspective

- Further studies;
 - Short range potentials (under progress)
 - Phenomenological approach (vector meson/chiral loop)
 - Lattice QCD
 - D^{(*)bar}NN and B^(*)NN systems (under progress)
 - Nuclei with more baryon number (in near future)









Diquark model; S. H. Lee and S. Y. (2009)

✓ Tetraquark T_{cc} (c^{bar}c^{bar}ud; C=+2)

T_{cc}^1	$ \begin{array}{c} $	$\frac{us\bar{c}\bar{c}}{-4.3}\\\bar{D}^0 + D_s^{*-}$	$\frac{ds\bar{c}\bar{c}}{-4.3}\\D^- + D_s^{*-}$	
T_{bb}^1	$ \begin{array}{r} ud\bar{b}\bar{b} \\ -123.8 \\ B^{+} + B^{*0}, B^{*+} + B^{0} \\ \end{array} $	$ us\bar{b}\bar{b} \\ -61.4 \\ B^+ + B_s^{*0} $	$\frac{ds\bar{b}\bar{b}}{-61.4}$ $B^{0}+B^{*0}_{s}$	MeV

✓ Pentaquark Θ_{cs} (udusc^{bar}; S=-1, C=+1)

	config. of Θ	config. of $M + B$	\bar{u}	$ar{s}$	\bar{c}	\overline{b}	
Θ _{qs}	$udusar{q}$	$uds+uar{q}$	389.4	198.9	8.4	-56.4	
Θ	usdsar q	$dss{+}uar{q}$	389.4	198.9	8.4	-56.4	MeV
qss		$uds{+}sar{q}$	256.8	142.5	28.4	-10.7	

Diquark model; S. H. Lee and S. Y. (2009)

✓ Tetraquark T_{cc} (c^{bar}c^{ba}ud; C=+2)

			$udar{c}ar{c}$		$usar{c}ar{c}$	($ds \bar{c} \bar{c}$		
	T_{co}^1	2	-74.9		-4.3	-	-4.3		
		$\bar{D}^{0} +$	$-D^{*-}, \bar{D}^{*0} + D^{-}$	\bar{D}	$D^{0} + D_{s}^{*}$	$ D^- $	$+D_s^*$		
			$udar{b}ar{b}$		$usar{b}ar{b}$	($ds \overline{b} \overline{b}$		IVIEV
	T_{bb}^1	,	-123.8		-61.4	-	61.4		
		B^+ -	$+B^{*0}, B^{*+}+B^{0}$	B	$B^{+} + B_{s}^{*}$	$\overset{*0}{}_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} B^0$	$+B_s^*$	0	
✓ Pe	entaqua	ark Ø _{cs}	, udust ^{bar} ; S=-1	, C	=+1)				
	config.	of Θ	config. of $M +$	B	$ar{u}$	$ar{s}$	\bar{c}	\overline{b}	
Θ _{as}	udu	$us \overline{q}$	$uds+u\bar{q}$		389.4	198.9	8.4	-56.4	

-1							
Θ	usdsar q	$dss{+}uar{q}$	389.4	198.9	8.4	-56.4	MeV
- qss		$uds{+}sar{q}$	256.8	142.5	28.4	-10.7	

 B_H [MeV] config. of H $I_z \mid S$ config. of B + B'flavor Ι 22200 -2 -28.95 $ud \, us \, ds$ 0 0 uds + udsΗ $qq \, qq \, qq$ MeV 31110 ud us uc -1 | ud c + us u, ud u + us c1 1 $ud \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us dc + ds uc)$ 22110 -1 | uds + udc, uds + udc-28.951 0 $ud \, ds \, dc$ 13110 $-1 \mid ud c + ds d, ud d + ds c$ qq qq qQ-1 H_c 1 -17.37(Q = c)21210ud us sc 1/2 1/2 $-2 \mid ud \, c + us \, s, \, ud \, s + us \, c$ 1/2 -1/2 -2 udc + dss, uds + dsc12210 ud ds sc -17.37 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us\,ds-ds\,us)\,sc$ $us\,s + ds\,c,\,us\,c + ds\,s$ 113100 0 -3 31101 ud us <mark>ub</mark> $\left| -1 \right| ud u + us b, ud b + us u$ 1 1 $ud \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us db + ds ub)$ 22101 -9.23 0 $-1 \mid uds + udb, uds + udb$ 1 13101 $ud \, ds \, db$ -1 $\left| -1 \right| udb + dsd, udd + dsb$ qq qq qQ1 H_b (Q = b)21202 $1/2 \ 1/2$ $-2 \mid ud \, b + us \, s, \, ud \, s + us \, b$ ud us sb -5.541/2 |-1/2|-2| ud b + ds s, ud s + ds b12201 ud ds sb $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us\,ds-ds\,us)\,sb$ 11301 0 -3 us b + ds s, us s + ds b-5.540 ud uc ub 31011 0 | ud b + uc u, ud u + uc b1 1 $ud \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (uc \, db + dc \, ub)$ 0 | ud b + uc u, ud u + uc b-9.23 22011 1 0 ud dc db $0 \mid udb + dcd, udd + dcb$ 13011 -1 us uc ub $3/2 \ 3/2$ $\left| -1 \right| us u + uc b, us b + uc u$ 30111 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}((us\,dc+ds\,uc)\,ub+us\,uc\,db)|_{3/2}|_{1/2}|_{-1}|_{ud\,b}+us\,c,\,ud\,c+us\,b$ 21111 $qq\,qQ\,qQ'$ -9.23 H_{cb} (Q, Q' = c, b) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((us\,dc+ds\,uc)\,db+ds\,dc\,ub)$ 3/2 -1/2 -1 $ud\,b+ds\,c,\,ud\,c+ds\,b$ 12111 3/2 - 3/2 - 1ds b + dc d, ds d + dc b03111 ds dc db1/2 1/2 -3 usb + scs, uss + scb-5.5410311 us sc sb 013111/2 - 1/2 - 3ds b + sc s, ds s + sc b $ds \, sc \, sb$ 21111ud us <mark>cb</mark> 1/2 1/2 -1 udb + usc, udc + usb-1.84 H'_{cb} $qq\,qq\,QQ'$ ud ds cb 1/2 - 1/2 - 1 udb + dsc, udc + dsb12111 (Q, Q' = c, b)11211 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us\,ds-ds\,us)\,cb$ 0 -2 -1.84usb + dsc, usc + dsb0 ud b + uc c, ud c + uc b1/2 1/2 0 -1.8421021 ud uc cb 12021 ud dc cb 1/2 - 1/2 0udb + dcc, udc + dcb(-1) us b + uc c, us c + uc b $qq\,qQ\,QQ'$ 20121 us uc cb 1 1 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us\,dc+ds\,uc)\,cb$ us b + dc c, us c + dc b0 1 -1 -1.84ds dc cb02121 -1 -1 ds b + dc c, ds c + dc b1 11121 0 udb + scc, udc + scb-1.84

0

_1

ud sc cb

\checkmark H dibaryon H_c (udusuc)

Diguark model; S. H. Lee and S. Y. (2009)

Diquark model; S. H. Lee and S. Y. (2009)

✓ H dibaryon H_c (udusuc) multiplets of SU(3)_f.



 \rightarrow H dibaryons (H, H_c, H_b, ...) are stable as 3_f multiplet of SU(3)_f.

diquark in QGP









In the process of yielding $\Lambda_{\rm c}$...



 \rightarrow ud diquark enhances $\Lambda_{\rm c}$ yield by more than 2 times.



Classification of states

 $|\text{state}\rangle = |\text{PN}\rangle + |\text{P*N}\rangle P = D^{\text{bar}}(c^{\text{bar}}q), B(b^{\text{bar}}q)$

J^P=1/2⁻ (I=0 or 1)

* No annihilation process



This was missing in Cohen-Hohler-Lebed (2005).

Classification of states

 $|\text{state}\rangle = |\text{PN}\rangle + |\text{P*N}\rangle \quad \text{P}=D^{\text{bar}}(c^{\text{bar}}q), B(b^{\text{bar}}q)$

Case 1. J^P=1/2⁻ (I=0 or 1)

* No annihilation process

 $|PN; {}^{2}S_{1/2} > + |P*N; {}^{2}S_{1/2} > + |P*N; {}^{4}D_{1/2} >$

Case 2. J^P=3/2⁻ (I=0 or 1)

 $|PN; {}^{2}D_{3/2} > + |P*N; {}^{4}S_{3/2} > + |P*N; {}^{4}D_{3/2} > + |P*N; {}^{2}D_{3/2} >$